

ON A CONJECTURE ON LINEAR SYSTEMS

SONICA ANAND

ABSTRACT. In a remark to Green's conjecture [Gre84], Paranjape and Ramanan analyzed the vector bundle E which is the pullback by the canonical map of the universal quotient bundle $T_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}}(-1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ [PR88] and stated a more general conjecture [HPR92] and proved it for the curves with Clifford Index 1 (trigonal and plane quintics). In this paper, we state the conjecture for general linear systems and obtain results for the case of hyperelliptic curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over a field k and let K be the canonical line bundle on C . In [Gre84], Green made a conjecture which relates two aspects Koszul cohomology (an algebraic aspect) and Clifford Index γ_C (a geometric aspect) of a curve. This conjecture [Gre84] is equivalent to the following [PR88]: Let E_K be the pullback by the canonical map $\Phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ of universal quotient bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$. Then the map $\wedge^i \Gamma(C, E_K) \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \wedge^i E_K)$ is surjective $\forall i \leq \gamma_C$. Paranjape and Ramanan [PR88] studied the vector bundle E_K (stability properties). They also proved that all sections of $\wedge^i E_K$ which are locally decomposable are in the image of $\wedge^i \Gamma(E_K) \forall i \leq \gamma_C$. Let $\sum_{i,K}$ be the cone of locally decomposable sections of $\wedge^i E_K$. In [HPR92], Hulek, Paranjape and Ramanan stated a conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. $\sum_{i,K}$ spans $\Gamma(\wedge^i E_K) \forall i$ and for all curves.

This is stronger than Green's conjecture. They proved it for curves with Clifford index 1 (trigonal curves and plane quintics). Conjecture 1.1 is trivial in case of hyperelliptic curves, since E_K is the $(g-1)$ -fold direct sum of the hyperelliptic line bundle. Vector bundle E_K is semi-stable (even stable if C is not hyperelliptic). In a remark to conjecture made in [HPR92], Eusen and Schreyer [ES12] asked a more general question, whether $\Gamma(\wedge^i N)$ is spanned by locally decomposable sections holds for every (stable) globally generated vector bundle N on every curve C . They gave counter examples to this more general question [ES12]. By broadening our view point, in this paper we state a conjecture for general linear systems. Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and let L be a globally generated line bundle on C . The evaluation map gives rise to an exact sequence

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H45, 14H51.

Key words and phrases. Green's conjecture, linear systems, hyperelliptic curves.

$$0 \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow \Gamma(L)_C \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

where E^* is locally free of rank $h^0(L) - 1$. Let $\sum_i$ be the cone of locally decomposable sections of $\wedge^i E$. We state

Conjecture 1.2. $\sum_i$ spans $\Gamma(\wedge^i E) \forall i$ and for all curves.

In this paper we prove Conjecture 1.2 in case of hyperelliptic curves for the line bundles with degree large enough.

Theorem 1. *Let C be a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and let L be a globally generated line bundle on C of degree $d \geq 2g + 1$ such that $H^1(L \otimes T^{-2}) = 0$, where T is the hyperelliptic line bundle on C . The evaluation map gives rise to an exact sequence*

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow \Gamma(L)_C \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

where E^* is locally free of rank $h^0(L) - 1$. Let $\sum_i$ be the cone of locally decomposable sections of $\wedge^i E$. Then $\sum_i$ spans $\Gamma(\wedge^i E) \forall i$.

This work has been done as a part of my Ph.D thesis under the guidance of Prof. Kapil H. Paranjape. I gratefully acknowledge IISER Mohali, the host institution and University Grant Commission, India for the financial support during this period.

2. GEOMETRY OF THE HYPERELLIPTIC CURVE

Since C is hyperelliptic of genus $g \geq 2$. Thus g_2^1 on C is unique. Let $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the associated 2-sheeted covering, $T := \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ is the unique g_2^1 .

Consider the rank 2 vector bundle W on $\mathbb{P}^1$, where $W := \pi_* L$.

Since,

$$\chi(L) = \chi(\pi_* L)$$

So,

$$d + 1 - g = rk(W) \left(\frac{deg W}{rk W} + 1 - g_{\mathbb{P}^1} \right) = 2 \left(\frac{deg W}{2} + 1 \right)$$

which gives

$$deg W = d - g - 1$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \det W &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d - g - 1) \\ W &\cong W^*(d - g - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\deg W = d - g - 1$, thus there is a unique integer $x \leq \frac{d-g-1}{2}$ such that

$$(2) \quad W \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(x) \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d - g - 1 - x)$$

Remark 1. (i) x is the least integer such that

$$H^1(W(-2 - x)) = H^1(\pi_* L(-2 - x)) = H^1(L \otimes T^{-2-x}) \neq 0$$

In particular, this implies that $\deg(L \otimes T^{-2-x}) \leq 2g - 2$ and thus we have

$$\frac{d - 2g - 2}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{d - g - 1}{2}$$

(ii) Since $H^1(L \otimes T^{-2}) = 0$, thus $x > 0$, so we have

$$\max\{1, \frac{d - 2g - 2}{2}\} \leq x \leq \frac{d - g - 1}{2}$$

which implies both W and $W(-1)$ is globally generated.

(iii) Also, $H^1(L \otimes T^{-2}) = 0$ implies $H^1(L) = 0$, thus by Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$(3) \quad h^0(L) = d - g + 1$$

and $\text{rank}(E) = h^0(L) - 1 = d - g \geq 3$ (since $d \geq 2g + 1$ and $g \geq 2$)

(iv) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(W(-1)) &\cong \Gamma(\pi_*(L \otimes T^{-1})) \\ &\cong \Gamma(L \otimes T^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$H^1(L \otimes T^{-2}) = 0$ gives $H^1(L \otimes T^{-1}) = 0$

Thus, by Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$h^0(L \otimes T^{-1}) = d - g - 1$$

i.e., we have

$$(4) \quad h^0(W(-1)) = d - g - 1$$

Since $W(-1)$ is globally generated and $\Gamma(W) \cong \Gamma(L)$, so we have a surjection

$$\Gamma(L)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0,$$

which is an isomorphism for sections. Since $W(-1)$ is globally generated bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$, W is very ample, i.e., we get an inclusion

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{P}(W^*) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(L)^*) =: \mathbb{P}.$$

Also we have a surjection

$$\pi^* W \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

In other words, we have a subbundle of $\pi^*(W^*)$ that is isomorphic to L^{-1} . This gives a morphism from C to $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$ with the property that the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_W(1)$ to C is L . Also the composite of this morphism with the projection $p : \mathbb{P}(W^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is π . Since the induced map

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}(W^*), \mathcal{O}_W(1)) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, W) \rightarrow \Gamma(C, L)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus C is actually embedded in $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$. Let us denote the image of $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$ in $\mathbb{P}$ by S . We return to the ruled surface $p : \mathbb{P}(W^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. By (5), there is an embedding $\mathbb{P}(W^*) \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(L)^*)$ with hyperplane section $\tau = \mathcal{O}_W(1)$. Note that $\tau^2 = \deg W = d - g - 1$. Since C is a secant (2-section) of $\mathbb{P}(W^*)$, its class is of the form $\mathcal{O}_W(2) \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$. To compute m , we note that $d = C \cdot \tau = 2\tau^2 + m$. Thus $m = 2g - d + 2$.

Altogether, we have the following proposition

Proposition 2.1. *There are inclusions*

$$C \subset S \subset \mathbb{P}$$

with the following properties:

- (i) *the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ to S is $\mathcal{O}_W(1)$;*
- (ii) *the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ to C is L ;*
- (iii) *both restrictions induce isomorphisms of the corresponding linear systems;*
- (iv) *the divisor class on S defined by C is $\mathcal{O}_W(2) \otimes p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-d + 2g + 2)$.*

Notation: We will use the notation U for the vector space $\Gamma(L \otimes T^{-1})$, i.e. we have

$$(6) \quad \Gamma(W(-1)) \cong U$$

and by (4), we have

$$(7) \quad \dim U = d - g - 1$$

3. COMPUTATION OF DIMENSIONS

In order to prove the conjecture, we want to relate the sections of $\wedge^i E$ to the sections of a suitable vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$

Lemma 3.1. *Let F be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ that is globally generated. Then the evaluation sequence is*

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(F(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

Proof: F is a sum of line bundles of degree ≥ 0 . Thus remains to check for line bundles, which is easy. □

We want to apply this lemma to W .

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(W(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow \Gamma(W)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

Pulling back the evaluation sequence for W on $\mathbb{P}^1$ to C and using (6) and the fact that $\Gamma(\pi_* L) \cong \Gamma(L)$, we get

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow U \otimes T^{-1} \rightarrow \Gamma(L)_C \rightarrow \pi^* W \rightarrow 0$$

Also, we have a surjective map $\pi^* W \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$, Let Y be the kernel of $\pi^* W \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ i.e. we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow \pi^* W \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0 \\ \wedge^2(\pi^* W) &\cong Y \otimes L \\ \pi^*(\wedge^2 W) &\cong Y \otimes L \\ \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d-g-1)) &\cong Y \otimes L \\ T^{d-g-1} &\cong Y \otimes L \\ Y &\cong L^{-1} \otimes T^{d-g-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \otimes T^{d-g-1} \rightarrow \pi^* W \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

we get a following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U \otimes T^{-1} & \longrightarrow & E^* & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} \otimes T^{d-g-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U \otimes T^{-1} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(L)_C & \longrightarrow & \pi^* W \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & L & \xlongequal{\quad} & L \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1.

where the left vertical map is the evaluation map.

Dualise the diagram in figure (1), we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & L \otimes T^{-(d-g-1)} & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & U^* \otimes T \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^* W^* & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(L)_C^* & \longrightarrow & U^* \otimes T \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & L^{-1} & \longrightarrow & L^{-1} & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2.

The first line of commutative diagram in figure (2) gives rise to an exact sequence

$$(11) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \otimes T^{-(d-g-1)} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} \rightarrow \wedge^i E \rightarrow \wedge^i U^* \otimes T^i \rightarrow 0$$

Since, $\pi^* W^*$ is a rank 2 bundle we only get a filtration consisting of the following two exact sequences:

$$(12) \quad 0 \rightarrow \wedge^2 \pi^* W^* \otimes \wedge^{i-2} U^* \otimes T^{i-2} \rightarrow \wedge^i \Gamma(L)_C^* \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow 0$$

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi^* W^* \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow \wedge^i U^* \otimes T^i \rightarrow 0$$

Since the second horizontal sequence of diagram in figure (2) is the pullback via π of the dual of the sequence (8). Thus both the above sequences come from $\mathbb{P}^1$, i.e. there exists a vector bundle L'_i on $\mathbb{P}^1$, such that $L_i = \pi^* L'_i$ and the sequences

$$(14) \quad 0 \rightarrow \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \wedge^{i-2} U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(i-2) \rightarrow \wedge^i \Gamma(L)_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \rightarrow L'_i \rightarrow 0$$

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow W^* \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(i-1) \rightarrow L'_i \rightarrow \wedge^i U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(i) \rightarrow 0$$

are such that (12) and (13) are pullback of (14) and (15) respectively. Dualising (1), we have

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow \Gamma(L)_C^* \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

Thus the map $\wedge^i \Gamma(L)_C^* \rightarrow \wedge^i E$ is surjective.

Also we have $\wedge^i \Gamma(L)_C^* \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow 0$. The maps $\wedge^i \Gamma(L)_C^* \rightarrow \wedge^i E \rightarrow 0$ factors through $L_i = \pi^* L'_i$. Thus we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & L \otimes T^{-(d-g-1)} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \wedge^i E & \longrightarrow & \wedge^i U^* \otimes T^i \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & \pi^* W^* \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \pi^* L'_i & \longrightarrow & \wedge^i U^* \otimes T^i \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & \\
 & L^{-1} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} & \xlongequal{\quad} & L^{-1} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} & & & \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & & &
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3.

where the top horizontal sequence is (11), middle horizontal sequence is (13), left vertical sequence is obtained by dualising (10) and tensoring it with $\wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1}$.

Let us compute the dimensions of the spaces $\Gamma(L'_i)$ and $\Gamma(\wedge^i E)$ for $i \leq d - g (= \text{rank } E)$

Lemma 3.2. *When $d \geq 2g + 1$, we have*

$$\dim \Gamma(L'_i) = \binom{d-g+1}{i} + \binom{d-g-1}{i-2}(d-i-g)$$

for $i \leq d - g$

Proof: Consider (14)

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \wedge^{i-2} U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(i-2) \rightarrow \wedge^i \Gamma(L)_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \rightarrow L'_i \rightarrow 0$$

Since

$$\det W \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d-g-1).$$

Thus

$$\det W^* \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-(d-g-1))$$

Thus, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(i-d+g-1) \rightarrow \wedge^i \Gamma(L)^* \rightarrow L'_i \rightarrow 0$$

Since,

$$i \leq d - g, h^0(\mathcal{O}(i-d+g-1)) = 0$$

Therefore,

$$h^0(L'_i) = h^0(\wedge^i \Gamma(L)^*) + h^1(\wedge^{i-2} U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(i-d+g-1))$$

By (7), we have

$$\dim U = d - g - 1$$

and by (3)

$$h^0(L) = d - g + 1$$

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}(i-d+g-1)) = d - i - g$$

Thus,

$$\dim \Gamma(L'_i) = \binom{d-g+1}{i} + \binom{d-g-1}{i-2}(d-i-g).$$

□

3.1. Syzygies of the curve. The syzygies of canonically embedded curves were computed by Schreyer [Sch86]. Based on the parallel idea, we compute the syzygies of the curve C . For this, let

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma(C, L^i)$$

be the homogeneous coordinate ring of C w.r.t L and

$$S = \text{Sym} \Gamma(C, L) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d-g}}(n)).$$

Let

$$(16) \quad 0 \rightarrow F_t \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0,$$

be a minimal free resolution of the graded S -module R . Then $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}} = \bigoplus_j M_{ij} \otimes S(-j)$, where M_{ij} is a k -vector space of $\dim \beta_{ij}$ and $S(-j)$ is the free S -module with one generator in degree j .

The resolution (16) is equivalent to the free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_C$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d-g}}$ -module:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathcal{O}(-j)^{\beta_{d-g-1,j}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathcal{O}(-j)^{\beta_{0,j}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0$$

To find this resolution, one starts with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-2\tau + (d - 2g - 2)f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0$$

(see Proposition (2.1)). The idea is to first resolve the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_S$ and $\mathcal{O}_S(-2\tau + (d - 2g - 2)f)$ resp. as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d-g}}$ modules and then form a mapping cone. The result turns out to be a minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}_C$.

Firstly, we will recall from [Eis05] the description of the syzygies of these sheaves.

Let $\xi = \mathcal{O}(e_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(e_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(e_s)$ be a locally free sheaf of rank s on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and let $p_\xi : \mathbb{P}(\xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ denote the corresponding $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ bundle. A rational normal scroll X of type $S(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s)$ with $e_1 \geq e_2 \geq \cdots \geq e_s \geq 0$ and

$$f = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_s \geq 2$$

is the image of $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$ in $\mathbb{P}^r = \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}(\xi), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\xi)}(1)))$:

$$j : \mathbb{P}(\xi) \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^r, r = f + s - 1$$

The Picard group of $\mathbb{P}(\xi)$ is generated by the hyperplane class $H = [j^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)]$ and the ruling $R = [p_\xi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)]$:

$$\text{Pic} \mathbb{P}(\xi) = \mathbb{Z}H \oplus \mathbb{Z}R,$$

the intersection product is given by

$$H^s = f, H^{s-1}.R = 1, R^2 = 0.$$

We recall from [Eis05], the description of the syzygies of the sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_X(aH + bR) := j_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\xi)}(aH + bR), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

regarded as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ -modules, at least in case $b \geq -1$.

Let

$$\Phi : F \rightarrow G$$

be a map of locally free sheaves of rank f' and g' , $f' \geq g'$, respectively on a smooth variety V . We recall from [BE75] the family of complexes ζ^b , $b \geq -1$ of locally free sheaves on V , which resolve the b^{th} -symmetric power of coker Φ under suitable hypothesis on Φ .

Define the j^{th} term in the complex ζ^b by

$$\zeta_j^b = \begin{cases} \wedge^j F \otimes S_{b-j} G, & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq b \\ \wedge^{j+g'-1} F \otimes D_{j-b-1} G^* \otimes \wedge^{g'} G^*, & \text{for } j \geq b+1 \end{cases}$$

and differential

$$\zeta_j^b \rightarrow \zeta_{j-1}^b$$

by the multiplication with $\Phi \in H^0(V, F^* \otimes G)$ for $j \neq b+1$ and $\wedge^{g'} \Phi \in H^0(V, \wedge^{g'} F^* \otimes \wedge^{g'} G)$ for $j = b+1$ in the appropriate term of the exterior ($\wedge F$), symmetric (S.G) or divided power (D.G) algebra.

Proposition 3.3. [Eis05] $\zeta^b(a)$ for $b \geq -1$ is the minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X(aH + bR)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ -module, where $\zeta^b(a) = \zeta^b \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(a)$

3.2. Minimal Resolution of $\mathcal{O}_C$. We have

$$C \subset S \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\Gamma(C, L)^*)$$

C is contained in a 2- dimensional rational normal scroll S of type $S(e_1, e_2)$ and degree $f = e_1 + e_2 = d - g - 1 \geq 2$.

C is a divisor of class

$$C \sim 2H - (f - (g + 1))R \text{ on } S$$

The mapping cone [Sch86]

$$\zeta^{f-(g+1)}(-2) \rightarrow \zeta^0$$

is the minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}_C$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d-g}}$ -module.

We consider

$$\Phi : F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d-g}}(-1) \rightarrow G \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d-g}}$$

be the map of locally free sheaves, where F is a vector space of dimension $f = d - g - 1$ and G is a vector space of dimension 2

Firstly, we will compute

$$\zeta^{f-(g+1)}(-2) = \zeta^{d-2g-2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)$$

Now,

$$\zeta_j^{d-2g-2} = \begin{cases} \wedge^j(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes S_{d-2g-2-j}(G \otimes \mathcal{O}), & 0 \leq j \leq d-2g-2 \\ \wedge^{j+1}(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes D_{j-d+2g+2-1}(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \otimes \wedge^2(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^*, & j \geq d-2g-1 \end{cases}$$

Since $j+1$ can be at most $d-g-1$. Thus, we have

$$\zeta_j^{d-2g-2} = \begin{cases} \wedge^j(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes S_{d-2g-2-j}(G \otimes \mathcal{O}), & 0 \leq j \leq d-2g-2 \\ \wedge^{j+1}(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes D_{j-d+2g+2-1}(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \otimes \wedge^2(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^*, & d-2g-1 \leq j \leq d-g-2 \end{cases}$$

$$\zeta_j^{d-2g-2} = \begin{cases} \wedge^j(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes S_{d-2g-2-j}(G \otimes \mathcal{O}), & 0 \leq j \leq d-2g-2 \\ \wedge^j(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes D_{j-d+2g}(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \otimes \wedge^2(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^*, & d-2g-1 \leq j \leq d-g-1 \end{cases}$$

Similarly we can compute ζ_j^0 ,

$$\zeta_j^0 = \begin{cases} \wedge^j(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes S_{0-j}(G \otimes \mathcal{O}) & j = 0 \\ \wedge^{j+1}(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes D_{j-1}(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \otimes \wedge^2(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* & 1 \leq j \leq d-g-2 \end{cases}$$

The minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_C$ is

(17)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-(d-g-1))^g \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^{j+1}(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes D_{j-d+2g+2-1}(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \otimes \wedge^2(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \\ \wedge^j(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes S_{d-2g-2-j}(G \otimes \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^{j+1}(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes D_{j-1}(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \otimes \wedge^2(G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \\ \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^j(F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes S_{0-j}(G \otimes \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

We will use this resolution to compute the $\dim \Gamma(\wedge^i E)$. For this, consider (16), the minimal free resolution of R and recall the results from Chapter 1 section 2 of the Ph.D. thesis (1992) of Prof. Kapil H. Paranjape (University of Bombay, Bombay, India), we have

$$M_{p,p+q} = \text{coker}(\wedge^{p+1} V \otimes \Gamma(C, K \otimes L^{q-1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \wedge^p E^* \otimes L^q))$$

where $M_{p,p+q} = (\text{Tor}_p^S(\mathbb{C}, R))_{p+q}$

and $\dim(\text{Tor}_p^S(\mathbb{C}, R))_{p+q} = \beta_{p,p+q}$.

Since $H^1(L) = 0$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{p,p+2} &\approx H^1(\wedge^{p+1} E^* \otimes L) \\ M_{p,p+2}^* &\approx H^0(\wedge^{p+1} E \otimes L^{-1} \otimes K) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. *When $d \geq 2g+1$, we have*

$$\dim \Gamma(\wedge^i E) = \binom{d-g+1}{i} + \binom{d-g-1}{i-2}(d-i-g)$$

for $i \leq d-g$

Proof: Consider (11)

$$0 \rightarrow L \otimes T^{-(d-g-1)} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} \rightarrow \wedge^i E \rightarrow \wedge^i U^* \otimes T^i \rightarrow 0$$

i.e.

$$0 \rightarrow L \otimes T^{-(d-g-i)} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \rightarrow \wedge^i E \rightarrow \wedge^i U^* \otimes T^i \rightarrow 0$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\wedge^i E) &= [h^0(L \otimes T^{-(d-g-i)}) - h^1(L \otimes T^{-(d-g-i)})] \binom{d-g-1}{i-1} + \binom{d-g-1}{i} h^0(T^i) \\ &\quad - \binom{d-g-1}{i} h^1(T^i) + h^1(\wedge^i E) \\ &= \binom{d-g-1}{i-1} (g-d+2i+1) + \binom{d-g-1}{i} (i+1) - \binom{d-g-1}{i} (g-i) + h^1(\wedge^i E) \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\wedge^i E) &= H^1(\wedge^{d-g-i} E^* \otimes L) \text{ (rank } E = d-g) \\ &= H^0(\wedge^{d-g-i} E \otimes L^{-1} \otimes K)^* \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$h^1(\wedge^i E) = h^0(\wedge^{d-g-i} E \otimes L^{-1} \otimes K)$$

Since

$$M_{p,p+2}^* \approx H^0(\wedge^{p+1} E \otimes L^{-1} \otimes K)$$

Thus, we have

$$M_{d-g-i-1, d-g-i+1}^* = H^0(\wedge^{d-g-i} E \otimes L^{-1} \otimes K)$$

We have $d \geq 2g+1$ and $i \leq d-g$ which implies $i \leq g$. Thus, for $j = (d-g-1) - i \geq d-2g-1$, we have from (17),

$$\dim M_{d-g-i-1, d-g-i+1}^* = \dim \wedge^{d-g-1-i} (F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes D_{g-1-i} (G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* \otimes \wedge^2 (G \otimes \mathcal{O})^* = \binom{d-g-1}{i} (g-i)$$

i.e.

$$h^1(\wedge^i E) = \binom{d-g-1}{i} (g-i)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \Gamma(\wedge^i E) &= \binom{d-g-1}{i-1} (g-d+2i+1) + \binom{d-g-1}{i} (i+1) \\ &= \binom{d-g-1}{i-1} (g-d+2i+1) + \binom{d-g-1}{i} (i+1) + \binom{d-g+1}{i} - \binom{d-g+1}{i} \\ &= \binom{d-g+1}{i} + \binom{d-g-1}{i-2} (d-i-g) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *For $i \leq d - g$, the map $\Gamma(L'_i) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^i E)$ induced by diagram in figure (3) is an isomorphism.*

Proof: We get an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \Gamma(L \otimes T^{-(d-g-1)+(i-1)}) \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* & \rightarrow & \Gamma(\wedge^i E) & \rightarrow & \wedge^i U^* \otimes \Gamma(T^i) \rightarrow \dots \\
 & & \alpha_1 \uparrow & & \alpha_2 \uparrow & & \alpha_3 \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(W^*(i-1)) \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(L'_i) & \rightarrow & \wedge^i U^* \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}(i)) \rightarrow \dots
 \end{array}$$

where α'_i s are induced by diagram in figure (3).

- α_1 is injective.

Consider the left vertical sequence of diagram in figure (3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} &\rightarrow \pi^* W^* \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} \\
 &\rightarrow L \otimes T^{-(d-g-1)} \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \otimes T^{i-1} \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(L^{-1} \otimes T^{i-1}) \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* &\rightarrow \Gamma(W^*(i-1)) \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* \\
 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \Gamma(L \otimes T^{-(d-g-1)+(i-1)}) \otimes \wedge^{i-1} U^* &\rightarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma(W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(i-1)) &\cong \Gamma(W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-d+g+i)) \\
 &\cong \Gamma(\pi_* L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-d+g+i)) \\
 &\cong \Gamma(\pi_*(L \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-d+g+i))) \\
 &\cong \Gamma(L \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-d+g+i)) \\
 &\cong \Gamma(L \otimes T^{-d+g+i})
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus α_1 is injective.

- α_3 is injective by definition.

Hence α_2 is injective and since both the spaces have the same dimension, the map $\Gamma(L'_i) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^i E)$ is an isomorphism. □

4. CONSTRUCTION OF A SUBBUNDLE OF E

We want to prove the conjecture for hyperelliptic curves of genus g . We shall first do this for $i = 2$. The main point is to construct sufficiently many locally decomposable sections that are not globally decomposable.

Consider $p : \mathbb{P}(W^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, the natural projection. For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the fibre $l_a = p^{-1}(a)$ is a secant of the curve C . Let W_a^* be the fibre of W^* at a . Since W is globally generated thus we have $\Gamma(W)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$. $W = \pi_* L$ and $\Gamma(\pi_* L) \cong \Gamma(L)$ thus we have $\Gamma(L)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$, which gives $W^* \rightarrow \Gamma(L)_{\mathbb{P}^1}^*$ and we can identify $\Gamma(L)^*$ with $\Gamma(E)$. Also, we have

$$0 \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow \Gamma(L)_C \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives $\Gamma(L)_C^* \rightarrow E$ i.e. a map $\Gamma(E)_C \rightarrow E$. Thus we get a map

$$(W_a)_C^* \rightarrow \Gamma(E)_C \rightarrow E$$

which is composite of the inclusion of W_a^* in $\Gamma(E)$ and the evaluation map.

Let $F(a)$ be the subbundle of E generated by the image of W_a^* . A section of $\Gamma(E)$ is non-zero at every point of C if it corresponds to a point of $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma(L)^*)$ not on the curve C , while a section corresponding to a point say $x \in C$ vanishes exactly at x .

Hence the map $W_a^* \rightarrow F(a)$ is an isomorphism outside $C \cap l_a$ but has rank 1 over $C \cap l_a$. The induced map $\wedge^2 W_a^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 F(a)$ has simple zeros exactly over $C \cap l_a$. Hence $F(a)$ has rank 2 and $\wedge^2 F(a) = T$. The vector bundle $F(a)$ has W_a^* as its space of sections i.e. $\dim \Gamma(F(a)) = 2$. On the other hand $\dim \Gamma(\wedge^2 F(a)) = \dim \Gamma(T) = 2$. Thus we get a 2- dimensional subspace of $\Gamma(\wedge^2 E)$ consisting of locally decomposable sections of which only the 1- dimensional subspace $\wedge^2 \Gamma(F(a)) \subset \Gamma(\wedge^2 F(a))$ consists of globally decomposable sections.

The next step is to globalise this construction, i.e. to vary the point a . We consider the graph inclusion $\Gamma \subset C \times \mathbb{P}^1$ given by the map π . This divisor belongs to the line bundle $p_1^* T \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, where p_1 and p_2 are the natural projections to C , resp. $\mathbb{P}^1$. the direct image by p_2 of the bundle morphism $p_2^* W^* \rightarrow \Gamma(E)_{C \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ yields the map $W^* \rightarrow \Gamma(E)_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, and hence a map $\wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 \Gamma(E)_{\mathbb{P}^1}$.

On the other hand the bundle homomorphism $p_2^* W^* \rightarrow \Gamma(E)_{C \times \mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow p_1^* E$ fails to be injective precisely over Γ . Thus, we get a morphism

$$p_2^*(\wedge^2 W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) \rightarrow p_1^*(\wedge^2 E). \text{ Taking direct image by } p_2 \text{ gives a morphism}$$

$\wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(T) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 E)_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. For every $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$ this induces a map $\Gamma(T) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 E)_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and this gives exactly the space of locally decomposable sections described above.

Altogether, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 W^* & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 \Gamma(E)_{\mathbb{P}^1} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\wedge^2 E)_{\mathbb{P}^1} & \longrightarrow & D_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $D_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2 := \frac{\Gamma(\wedge^2 E)}{\wedge^2 \Gamma(E)}$

where the top horizontal row is the evaluation sequence for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, which is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

Tensoring with $\wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \rightarrow \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \rightarrow 0$$

and use $\Gamma(T) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$.

We have to show that the locally decomposable sections constructed above together with $\wedge^2 \Gamma(E)$ generate $\Gamma(\wedge^2 E)$. For this, we consider the map $\wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{P}^1}^2$. We want to show that this map is injective (as a bundle map) and that the resulting rational curve in $\mathbb{P}(D^2)$ is the rational normal curve of degree $d - g - 3$ (recall that $\dim D^2 = d - g - 2$). This is sufficient since the rational normal curve of degree n in $\mathbb{P}^n$ spans $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Our aim is to do this by entirely reducing the problem to computations on $\mathbb{P}^1$, resp. $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 4.1. [HPR92] *Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-n) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n))^*_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ be a non-zero $Sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant morphism. Then this morphism defines an embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1$ into $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n)^*))$ as a rational normal curve of degree n .*

We return to the bundle W . Sequence (14) gives for $i = 2$ the following sequence:

$$(18) \quad 0 \rightarrow \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 \Gamma(W)^*_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow L'_2 \rightarrow 0$$

Consider $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ together with projections q_1 and q_2 resp.

Taking pullback of (18) via q_1 and q_2 resp., we get a map $q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow q_1^* L'_2$ that vanishes along the

diagonal $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Hence, we get a morphism

$$q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \rightarrow q_1^* L'_2$$

Applying q_{2*} , we get a map

$$\wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \Gamma(L'_2)_{\mathbb{P}^1}$$

This gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \wedge^2 W^* & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 \Gamma(W)^*_{\mathbb{P}^1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(L'_2)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(2) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\wedge^2 W^*) = \Gamma(\wedge^2 W \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2))^* \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 4.

where the left hand column is the Euler sequence on $\mathbb{P}^1$ twisted by $\wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$, the right hand column comes from (18) and the map $\wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 \Gamma(W)^*$ is the natural one. This diagram is $Sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ equivariant, where $Sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the usual way and on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by the diagonal action. In particular the morphism $\wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 W \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2))^*$ is $Sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ equivariant, by Lemma(4.2), it defines an embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1$ into $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma(\wedge^2 W \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2))^*)$ as a rational normal curve of degree $d - g - 3$.

Lemma 4.2. [HPR92] *Diagram in figure (4) gives rise to a commutative and exact diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 W \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(L'_2)^* & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 \Gamma(W) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 | & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^2 W \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)) & \rightarrow & \Gamma(\wedge^2 W \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}(1)) & \rightarrow & \Gamma(\wedge^2 W) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Proposition 4.3. $\Gamma(\wedge^2 E)$ is generated by locally decomposable sections.

Proof: We have constructed maps $p_2^*(\wedge^2 W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) \rightarrow p_1^*(\wedge^2 E)$ on $C \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \rightarrow q_1^* L'_2$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xleftarrow{P_1} & C \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{P_2} & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \times id & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xleftarrow{q_1} & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{q_2} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

Pulling the morphism $q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \rightarrow q_1^* L'_2$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ back to $C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we get a morphism $p_2^*(\wedge^2 W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) \rightarrow p_1^*(\pi^* L'_2)$. By construction the diagram commutes where the map $p_1^*(\pi^* L'_2) \rightarrow p_1^*(\wedge^2 E)$ is the pullback via p_1 of the corresponding map in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_2^*(\wedge^2 W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) & \rightarrow & p_1^*(\pi^* L'_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p_2^*(\wedge^2 W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) & \rightarrow & p_1^*(\wedge^2 E) \end{array}$$

diagram in figure (3). Pushing this down via $\pi \times id$ to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ leads to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \rightarrow & q_1^* L'_2 \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) & \rightarrow & q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \otimes (\pi \times id)_* \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) & \rightarrow & q_1^*(\pi_* \pi^* L'_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Delta) & \rightarrow & q_2^* \wedge^2 W^* \otimes (\pi \times id)_* \mathcal{O}(\Gamma) & \rightarrow & q_1^*(\pi_* \wedge^2 E) \end{array}$$

Now taking q_{2*} of the outermost square we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) & \rightarrow & \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, L'_2) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) & \rightarrow & \Gamma(C, \wedge^2 E) \end{array}$$

where the right hand vertical map is an isomorphism from Proposition 4.3. Thus in order to compute the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\wedge^2 W^* & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 \Gamma(W)_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\wedge^2 E)
\end{array}$$

we can compute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\wedge^2 W^* & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 \Gamma(W)_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\wedge^2 W^* \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(L'_2)_{\mathbb{P}^1}
\end{array}$$

and the result follows from Lemma 4.2. □

5. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

Here we want to prove Theorem 1.

We shall first show that for $2 \leq i \leq d - g$, there is a natural epimorphism

$$\wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \Gamma(L'_2)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \Gamma(L'_i)_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow 0$$

Setting $i = 2$ in (14), we get

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \wedge^2 \Gamma(L)_{\mathbb{P}^1}^* \rightarrow L'_2 \rightarrow 0$$

Twisting with $\wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^*$, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \wedge^2 \Gamma(W)^* \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes L'_2 \rightarrow 0$$

(since $\Gamma(L) \cong \Gamma(W)$) Combining this with (14), we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \wedge^2 W^* & \longrightarrow & \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \wedge^2 \Gamma(W)^* & \rightarrow & \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes L'_2 \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W(-1))^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(i-2) \otimes \wedge^2 W^* & \longrightarrow & \wedge^i \Gamma(W)^* & \longrightarrow & L'_i \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 5.

Here the middle vertical map is the canonical one and the left hand vertical map is given by taking $\wedge^{i-2}$ of the dual evaluation sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W^* \rightarrow \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \Gamma(W(-1))^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

Taking $\wedge^{i-2}$ of the above sequence, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^2 W^* \otimes \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W(-1))^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(i-2) \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \rightarrow F_{i-2} \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow F_{i-2} \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W(-1))^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(i-2) \rightarrow 0$$

Tensoring above sequence with $\wedge^2 W^*$, we get

$$0 \rightarrow F_{i-2} \otimes \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W(-1))^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(i-2) \otimes \wedge^2 W^* \rightarrow 0$$

Taking the associated cohomology sequence of commutative diagram in figure (5), we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \wedge^2 \Gamma(W)^* & \longrightarrow & \wedge^i \Gamma(W)^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \Gamma(L'_2) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(L'_i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W)^* \otimes \wedge^2 W^*) & \rightarrow & H^1(\wedge^{i-2} \Gamma(W(-1))^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(i-2) \otimes \wedge^2 W^*) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & \vdots \end{array}$$

Here W is a rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree $d - g - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \det W &\cong \mathcal{O}(d - g - 1) \\ \det W^* &\cong \mathcal{O}(-d + g + 1) \\ \text{i.e. } \wedge^2 W^* &\cong \mathcal{O}(-d + g + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since $2 \leq d - g - 1$

Thus $\Gamma(\wedge^2 W) = 0$

The top horizontal map is clearly surjective. The bottom horizontal map is surjective since H^2 vanishes on $\mathbb{P}^1$. By standard diagram chasing the middle horizontal map must be surjective thus giving our first claim.

By construction the natural diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\wedge^{i-2}\Gamma(W)^* \otimes \Gamma(L'_2) & \rightarrow & \wedge^{i-2}\Gamma(E) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^2 E) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma(L'_i) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\wedge^i E)
\end{array}$$

Since $\Gamma(W)^* \cong \Gamma(L)^*$ and $\Gamma(L)^*$ can be identified with $\Gamma(E)$. By proposition (4.3), the horizontal maps are isomorphisms. Hence the natural map $\wedge^{i-2}\Gamma(E) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^2 E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^i E)$ is surjective and our claim follows from Proposition (4.3).

REFERENCES

- [BE75] David A. Buchsbaum and David Eisenbud, *Generic free resolutions and a family of generically perfect ideals*, Advances in Math. **18** (1975), no. 3, 245–301. MR 0396528
- [Eis05] David Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 229, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005, A second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. MR 2103875
- [ES12] Friedrich Eusei and Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *A remark on a conjecture of Paranjape and Ramanan*, Geometry and arithmetic, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012, pp. 113–123. MR 2987656
- [Gre84] Mark L. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), no. 1, 125–171. MR 739785 (85e:14022)
- [HPR92] K. Hulek, K. Paranjape, and S. Ramanan, *On a conjecture on canonical curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), no. 3, 335–359. MR 1158621 (93c:14029)
- [PR88] Kapil Paranjape and S. Ramanan, *On the canonical ring of a curve*, Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. II, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988, pp. 503–516. MR 977775 (90b:14024)
- [Sch86] Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Syzygies of canonical curves and special linear series*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), no. 1, 105–137. MR 849058

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR-81, MOHALI 140306 INDIA.
E-mail address: sonicaanand@iisermohali.ac.in